

Higgs impostor, or just a light scalar on the lattice?

Julius Kuti

University of California, San Diego

SCGT14Mini KMI workshop, Nagoya, March 5, 2014



Higgs impostor, or just a light scalar on the lattice?

Lattice Higgs Collaboration (LatHC)

Zoltan Fodor, Kieran Holland, Santanu Mondal, Daniel Nogradi, (Chris Schroeder), Chik Him Wong

Julius Kuti

University of California, San Diego

SCGT14Mini KMI workshop, Nagoya, March 5, 2014

This talk is dedicated to Keisuke Jimmy Juge to win his courageous fight at the Tsukuba University hospital

We also wish full and quick recovery to Yoichi Iwasaki from his serious injury



Keisuke Jimmy Juge

Lattice gauge theorist whom many of you know

On sabbatical at KEK from the University of the Pacific in California

Expert on hadron spectroscopy including the 0++ scalar spectrum from disconnected diagrams, so relevant to this workshop

Talk is based on 4 publications, with an overview and discussion of new developments since:

Can the nearly conformal sextet gauge model hide the Higgs impostor?

Zoltan Fodor (Wuppertal U. & IAS, Julich & Eotvos U.), Kieran Holland (U. Pacific, Stockton), Julius Kuti (UC, San Diego), Daniel Nogradi (Eotvos U.), Chris Schroeder (LLNL, Livermore), Chik Him Wong (UC, San Diego). Sep 2012. 10 pp. Published in Phys.Lett. B718 (2012) 657-666 DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2012.10.079 e-Print: arXiv:1209.0391 [hep-lat] | PDF

The Yang-Mills gradient flow in finite volume

Zoltan Fodor (Wuppertal U. & IAS, Julich & Eotvos U.), Kieran Holland (U. Pacific, Stockton & Bern U.), Julius Kuti (UC, San Diego), Daniel Nogradi (Eotvos U.), Chik Him Wong (UC, San Diego). Aug 2012. 17 pp. Published in JHEP 1211 (2012) 007 DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2012)007 e-Print: arXiv:1208.1051 [hep-lat] | PDF

Can a light Higgs impostor hide in composite gauge models? Zoltan Fodor, Kieran Holland, Julius Kuti, Daniel Nogradi, Chik Him Wong. Jan 9, 2014. 7 pp. Conference: C13-07-29.1 Proceedings e-Print: arXiv:1401.2176 [hep-lat] | PDF

The chiral condensate from the Dirac spectrum in BSM gauge theories

Zoltan Fodor, Kieran Holland, Julius Kuti, Daniel Nogradi, Chik Him Wong. Feb 24, 2014. 7 pp. Conference: <u>C13-07-29.1</u> <u>Proceedings</u> e-Print: <u>arXiv:1402.6029</u> [hep-lat] | <u>PDF</u>

Outline

Lattice BSM after the Higgs discovery

Near-conformal light Higgs?

light scalar (dilaton-like?) close to conformal window EW precision and S-parameter scale setting and spectroscopy

Running coupling

running (walking?) coupling from gradient flow

Chiral condensate

new stochastic method for spectral density mode number large anomalous dimension?

Early universe EW phase transition dark matter

Summary and Outlook



early to worry about naming rights

Large Hadron Collider - CERN primary mission:

- Search for Higgs particle
- Origin of Electroweak symmetry breaking
- A Higgs-like particle is found Is it the Standard Model Higgs? or
- Near-conformal strong dynamics?
- Composite PNGB-like Higgs?
- SUSY?
- 5 Dim?









Rational for lattice BSM:

voices: a light Higgs-like scalar was found, consistent with SM within errors, and composite states have not been seen below I TeV. Strongly coupled BSM gauge theories are Higgs-less with resonances below I TeV

facts: Compositeness has not been shown to be incompatible with the light Higgs scalar; earlier search for compositeness was based on naively scaled up QCD and unacceptable old technicolor guessing games. Resonances, out of first LHC run reach, are in the 2-3 TeV range in the theory I will discuss

lattice BSM plans: LHC14 run will search for new physics from compositeness and SUSY, and the lattice BSM community is preparing quantitative lattice based predictions to be ruled in or ruled out. We better get this right !

Rational for lattice BSM:



voices: a light Higgs-like scalar was found, consistent with SM within errors, and composite states have not been seen below I TeV. Strongly coupled BSM gauge theories are Higgs-less with resonances below I TeV

A1 ~ 2.4 TeV search for compositeness was based on naively Rho~1.7 TeV_p QCD and unacceptable old technicolor guessing games. Resonances, out of first LHC run reach, are in the 2-3 TeV range in the theory I will discuss

scalar composite at 500 GeV?EW self-energyobserved Higgs-like $\delta M_H^2 \sim -12\kappa^2 r_t^2 m_t^2 \sim -\kappa^2 r_t^2 (600 \, {\rm GeV})^2$

Rational for lattice BSM:



Events / 2 GeV

Events-Fit

voices: a light Higgs-like scalar was found, consistent with SM within errors, and composite states have not been seen below I TeV. Strongly coupled BSM gauge theories are Higgs-less with resonances below I TeV









to illustrate: sextet SU(3) color rep

U

 $\lfloor d \rfloor$

one massless fermion doublet

χ SB on Λ ~TeV scale

three Goldstone pions become longitudinal components of weak bosons

composite Higgs mechanism scale of Higgs condensate ~ F=250 GeV

conflicts with EW constraints?



to apply QCD intuition to near-conformal compositeness is just plain wrong

to illustrate: sextet SU(3) color rep

U

d

one massless fermion doublet

χ SB on Λ ~TeV scale

three Goldstone pions become longitudinal components of weak bosons

composite Higgs mechanism scale of Higgs condensate ~ F=250 GeV

conflicts with EW constraints?



to apply QCD intuition to near-conformal compositeness is just plain wrong

but too early to worry about naming rights

to illustrate: sextet SU(3) color rep one massless fermion doublet $\lceil u \rceil$

 $\lfloor d \rfloor$

 χ SB on Λ ~TeV scale

three Goldstone pions become longitudinal components of weak bosons

composite Higgs mechanism scale of Higgs condensate ~ F=250 GeV

conflicts with EW constraints?







Partially Conserved Dilatation Current (PCDC)

Will gradient flow based technology make the argument less slippery?

Dilatation current

Bardeen et al., Ellis, Yamawaki, Miransky, Appelquist, ...

 $\langle 0|\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x)|\sigma(p)\rangle = \frac{f_\sigma}{3}(p^\mu p^\nu - g^{\mu\nu}p^2)e^{-ipx}$

 $\langle 0 | \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{D}^{\mu}(x) | \sigma(p) \rangle = f_{\sigma} m_{\sigma}^2 e^{-ipx}$

 $m_{\sigma}^2 \simeq -\frac{4}{f_{\sigma}^2} \langle 0 | \left[\Theta^{\mu}_{\mu}(0) \right]_{NP} | 0 \rangle$

 $\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\mu} = \Theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu}$

 $\left[\Theta^{\mu}_{\mu}\right]_{NP} = \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} \left[G^{a}_{\mu\nu}G^{a\mu\nu}\right]_{NP} \quad \frac{m_{\sigma}}{f_{\sigma}} \to ?$

Partially Conserved Dilatation Current (PCDC)

Will gradient flow based technology make the argument less slippery?

Dilatation current

Bardeen et al., Ellis, Yamawaki, Miransky, Appelquist, ...

 $\langle 0|\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x)|\sigma(p)\rangle = \frac{f_{\sigma}}{3}(p^{\mu}p^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}p^2)e^{-ipx}$

 $\langle 0|\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\mu}(x)|\sigma(p)\rangle = f_{\sigma}m_{\sigma}^{2}e^{-ipx}$

 $m_{\sigma}^2 \simeq -\frac{4}{f_{\sigma}^2} \langle 0 | \left[\Theta^{\mu}_{\mu}(0) \right]_{NP} | 0 \rangle$

 $\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\mu} = \Theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu}$

 $\left[\Theta^{\mu}_{\mu}\right]_{NP} = \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} \left[G^{a}_{\mu\nu}G^{a\mu\nu}\right]_{NP} \quad \frac{m_{\sigma}}{f_{\sigma}} \to ?$

light composite scalar, but how light is light ? few hundred GeV Higgs impostor?

Foadi, Fransden, Sannino open for spirited theory discussions



 $\delta M_{II}^2 \sim -12\kappa^2 r_t^2 m_t^2 \sim -\kappa^2 r_t^2 (600 \,\text{GeV})^2$

Partially Conserved Dilatation Current (PCDC)

Will gradient flow based technology make the argument less slippery?

Dilatation current

Bardeen et al., Ellis, Yamawaki, Miransky, Appelquist, ...

$$\langle 0|\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x)|\sigma(p)\rangle = \frac{f_\sigma}{3}(p^\mu p^\nu - g^{\mu\nu}p^2)e^{-ipx}$$

 $\langle 0|\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\mu}(x)|\sigma(p)\rangle = f_{\sigma}m_{\sigma}^{2}e^{-ipx}$

 $m_{\sigma}^2 \simeq -\frac{4}{f_{\sigma}^2} \langle 0 | \left[\Theta_{\mu}^{\mu}(0) \right]_{NP} | 0 \rangle$

 $\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\mu} = \Theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu}$

$$\left[\Theta^{\mu}_{\mu}\right]_{NP} = \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} \left[G^{a}_{\mu\nu}G^{a\mu\nu}\right]_{NP} \quad \frac{m_{\sigma}}{f_{\sigma}} \to ?$$

light composite scalar, but how light is light ? few hundred GeV Higgs impostor?

Foadi, Fransden, Sannino open for spirited theory discussions



$$\delta M_H^2 \sim -12\kappa^2 r_t^2 m_t^2 \sim -\kappa^2 r_t^2 (600 \,{\rm GeV})^2$$





Partially Conserved Dilatation Current (PCDC)

Will gradient flow based technology make the argument less slippery?

Dilatation current

Bardeen et al., Ellis, Yamawaki, Miransky, Appelquist, ...

Higgs impostor of SCGT(perhaps dilaton-like) or "just a light scalar on the lattice" ?



Partially Conserved Dilatation Current (PCDC)

Will gradient flow based technology make the argument less slippery?

Dilatation current

Bardeen et al., Ellis, Yamawaki, Miransky, Appelquist, ...

$$\langle 0|\Theta^{\mu\nu}(x)|\sigma(p)\rangle = \frac{f_{\sigma}}{3}(p^{\mu}p^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu}p^2)e^{-ipx}$$
$$\langle 0|\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\mu}(x)|\sigma(p)\rangle = f_{\sigma}m_{\sigma}^2e^{-ipx}$$

 $m_{\sigma}^{2} \simeq -\frac{4}{f_{\sigma}^{2}} \langle 0 | \left[\Theta_{\mu}^{\mu}(0) \right]_{NP} | 0 \rangle$

 $\partial_{\mu}\mathcal{D}^{\mu} = \Theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu}$

$$\left[\Theta^{\mu}_{\mu}\right]_{NP} = \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} \left[G^{a}_{\mu\nu}G^{a\mu\nu}\right]_{NP} \quad \frac{m_{\sigma}}{f_{\sigma}} \to G^{a\mu\nu}$$

light composite scalar, but how light is light ? few hundred GeV Higgs impostor?

Foadi, Fransden, Sannino open for spirited theory discussions



$$\delta M_H^2 \sim -12\kappa^2 r_t^2 m_t^2 \sim -\kappa^2 r_t^2 (600 \,\text{GeV})^2$$



our new code (sextet Janos) is highly optimized impressive Borsanyi/Wong effort in production now to answer questions in second generation run set:

We are in a second generation run set β=3.15, 3.20, 3.25, 3.30 gauge couplings 48x96³, 40x80³, 32x64³ volumes 3 fermion masses in each run this is expensive our new code (sextet Janos) is highly optimized impressive Borsanyi/Wong effort in production now to answer questions in second generation run set:

- 1. Test of chiral perturbation theory below the scale of low mass scalar? how to test if light scalar is dilaton-like both require new low energy effective action is there an f_{σ}/f_{π} crisis?
- 2. Needs precise scale setting and resonance spectrum

 S and T parameters of Electroweak precision tests

 large volumes F · L ~ 1, or larger!
 We are in a second generation run set

 slow topology
 β=3.15, 3.20, 3.25, 3.30 gauge couplings
- 3. Running (walking?) coupling volume-dependent running coupling scale-dependent L= ∞ coupling in chiral limit

```
48x96<sup>3</sup>, 40x80<sup>3</sup>, 32x64<sup>3</sup> volumes
3 fermion masses in each run
this is expensive
```

4. Consistent chiral condensate

GMOR relation is still not quite consistent new method for spectral density and mode number anomalous dimension of chiral condensate



Spectroscopy and scale setting

sextet N_f=2



Spectroscopy and scale setting (scalar)

test of technology:



 $N_{f} = 12$

25

similar analysis in sextet model with $N_f=2$

Spectroscopy (scalar)

sextet rep N_f=2



slowly changing topology complicates the analysis:



β**=**3.20.

1600

Trajectory Numbers

2400

3200

0.2

0.15

0.1

0.05

0

0

800

a m $_{f_0}$

m = 0.006

- challenging to deal with it
- effect on scalar spectrum is hardly detectable
- slow topology can be synthesized by stochastic algorithms but its practical utilization is unclear
- slowly changing topology perhaps can be accelerated in open segments of very long lattices in time direction?
- or take the bullet and analyze at fixed topology

Spectroscopy for LHCI4 run

not ruled out from first LHC run TeV within reach of LHC14 run A1 ~ 2.4 TeV Rho ~ 1.7 TeV LatHC is working on second A₀ ~ 1.5 TeV generation precision scalar impostor few hundred GeV? EW self-energy E R SM observed Higgs-like? $\delta M_H^2 \sim -12\kappa^2 r_t^2 m_t^2 \sim -\kappa^2 r_t^2 (600 \,{\rm GeV})^2$

sextet $N_f=2$

light composite Higgs and EW constraints



light composite Higgs and EW constraints



FIG. 2. NLO determinations of S and T, imposing the two WSRs. The approximately vertical curves correspond to constant values of M_V , from 1.5 to 6.0 TeV at intervals of 0.5 TeV. The approximately horizontal curves have constant values of ω : 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00. The arrows indicate the directions of growing M_V and ω . The ellipses give the experimentally allowed regions at 68% (orange), 95% (green) and 99% (blue) CL.

$$S = \frac{16\pi}{g^2 \tan \theta_W} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \left[\rho_S(t) - \rho_S(t)^{\text{SM}} \right]$$
$$S_{\text{LO}} = 4\pi \left(\frac{F_V^2}{M_V^2} - \frac{F_A^2}{M_A^2} \right)$$

$$T = \frac{4\pi}{g^{\prime 2}\cos^2\theta_W} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^2} \left[\rho_T(t) - \rho_T(t)^{\mathrm{SM}}\right]$$

From two Weinberg sum rules and from NLO loop expansion:

 $M_{V,}$ $M_A \sim 2$ TeV or higher is compatible with S,T constraints (it is tight and arguably ambiguous)

more work needed

related body of work by Sannino and collaborators

light composite Higgs and EW constraints



$$S = \frac{16\pi}{g^2 \tan \theta_W} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{dt}}{t} \left[\rho_S(t) - \rho_S(t)^{\mathrm{SM}} \right]$$
$$S_{\mathrm{LO}} = 4\pi \left(\frac{F_V^2}{M_V^2} - \frac{F_A^2}{M_A^2} \right)$$

minimal composite Higgs in sextet rep is not ruled out (resonance spectrum in 2 TeV range)



FIG. 2. NLO determinations of S and T, imposing the two WSRs. The approximately vertical curves correspond to constant values of M_V , from 1.5 to 6.0 TeV at intervals of 0.5 TeV. The approximately horizontal curves have constant values of ω : 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00. The arrows indicate the directions of growing M_V and ω . The ellipses give the experimentally allowed regions at 68% (orange), 95% (green) and 99% (blue) CL.

From two Weinberg sum rules and from NLO loop expansion:

 $M_{V,}$ $M_A \sim 2$ TeV or higher is compatible with S,T constraints (it is tight and arguably ambiguous)

more work needed

related body of work by Sannino and collaborators

running coupling and beta function from gradient flow sextet rep



authors: We cannot confirm the existence of an infrared fixed point

in authors' final analysis anomalous dimension remains ~ 0.4 at large renormalized couplings

LHC group has the gradient flow beta function (no zero) and the anomalous dimension growing far above 0.4 (from Dirac spectrum) - incomplete analysis consistent with chiral SB

running coupling and beta function from gradient flow sextet rep

L-dependent running coupling definition from gauge field gradient flow



scale-dependent running coupling from gauge field gradient flow



freeze-out from $N_{f}=3$ to $N_{f}=2$ inside conformal window mass-dependent beta-function of sextet model MOM scheme

- position of IRFP inside CW not tunable with m
- plateau length tunable, its position is not (in or out)



$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \alpha(\mu) = -\alpha(\mu)^2 \pi^{-1} [\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \alpha(\mu)]$$

$$\beta_0 = \frac{11}{6} C_A - \frac{2}{3} T_F \sum_f b_0(x), \quad x = \frac{m_f^2}{\mu^2}$$

$$b_0(x) = 1 - 6x + \frac{12x^2}{\sqrt{1+4x}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{1+4x}+1}{\sqrt{1+4x}-1}$$

$$\beta_1(x) = \frac{17}{12} C_A^2 - (\frac{5}{6} C_A + \frac{1}{2} C_F) \cdot T_F \sum_f b_1(x)$$

$$b_1(x) = \frac{-0.45577x + 0.26995}{x^2 + 2.1742x + 0.26995}$$
sextet parameters: $C_A = 3$; $C_F = \frac{10}{3}$; $T_F = \frac{5}{2}$

$$N_f = 2 \text{ IRFP}$$



scale-dependent running coupling from gauge field gradient flow



scale-dependent running coupling from gauge field gradient flow



The chiral (Higgs) condensate

- New stochastic method
- Direct determination of full spectral density and mode number distribution on gauge configurations
- To remove UV divergences at finite fermion mass
- To investigate internal (in)consistencies with GMOR relation
- To determine anomalous dimension of the chiral condensate



control on UV divergences: mode number density of chiral condensate

$$\rho(\lambda, m) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \delta(\lambda - \lambda_k) \rangle \qquad \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \lim_{m \to 0} \lim_{V \to \infty} \rho(\lambda, m) = \frac{\Sigma}{\pi} \quad \text{spectral density}$$
$$\nu(M, m) = V \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} d\lambda \, \rho(\lambda, m), \qquad \Lambda = \sqrt{M^2 - m^2} \qquad \text{mode number density}$$

 $\nu_{\rm R}(M_{\rm R}, m_{\rm R}) = \nu(M, m_{\rm q})$ renormalized and RG invariant (Giusti and Luscher)







$$\frac{\Sigma_{\text{eff}}}{\Sigma} = 1 + \frac{\Sigma}{32\pi^3 N_F F^4} \left[2N_F^2 |\Lambda| \arctan\frac{|\Lambda|}{m} - 4\pi |\Lambda| - N_F^2 m \log\frac{\Lambda^2 + m^2}{\mu^2} - 4m \log\frac{|\Lambda|}{\mu} \right]$$



new stochastic method sextet Nf=2 code by Ricky Wong

direct determination of full spectral density and mode number distribution on gauge configurations







new stochastic method sextet rep Nf=2

comparison with direct determination of spectral density from eigenvalue spectrum



new stochastic method sextet rep Nf=2

comparison with direct determination of spectral density from eigenvalue spectrum



Early universe

Kogut-Sinclair work consistent with xSB phase transition

Relevance in early cosmology (order of the phase transition?)



Early universe

The Total Energy of the Universe:

Vacuum Energy (Dark Energy)~ 67 %Dark Matter~ 29 %Visible Baryonic Matter~ 4 %

Dark matter

self-interacting? O(barn) cross section would be challenging

T. Appelquist, R. C. Brower, M. I. Buchoff, M. Cheng, S. D. Cohen' G. T. Fleming, J. Kiskis, M. F. Lin, E. T. Neil, J. C. Osborn, C. Rebbi, D. Schaich, C. Schroeder' S. Syritsyn, G. Voronov, P. Vranas, and J. Wasem (Lattice Strong Dynamics (LSD) Collaboration)



 lattice BSM phenomenology of dark matter pioneering LSD work

- Nf=2 Qu=2/3 Qd = -1/3 udd neutral dark matter candidate
- dark matter candidate sextet Nf=2 electroweak active in the application
- there is room for third heavy fermion flavor as electroweak singlet
- rather subtle sextet baryon construction (symmetric in color)

Summary and Outlook

Simplest composite scalar is light near conformality

Tuning with third flavor ?	
dark matter	implications are intriguing strong self-interactions?
spectroscopy	emerging resonance spectrum ~ 2 TeV
chiral condensate	new method is very promising
running (walking) coupling in progress	difficult, Gradient Flow is huge improvement
light scalar (dilaton-like?) emerging	close to conformal window?

We have a candidate for minimal Higgs impostor to make it fail !

Our job is not to oversell, but do everything we can to kill the model !

If we fail to kill, the model will speak for itself

backup slides

